Reply to Restriction Requirement dated September 8, 2006

Page 2 of 3

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the present application. Claims 1-17 are currently being prosecuted. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider his restriction requirement in view of the amendments and remarks as set forth hereinbelow.

ELECTION OF CLAIMS

The Examiner has set forth a restriction requirement with regard to claims 1-15. The grouping of the claims is set forth as follows:

GROUP	CLAIMS	CLASSIFICATION
I	1-6, and 11-17	Class 280, Subclass 124.128
II	7-10	Class 188, Subclass 284

In order to be responsive to the Examiner's restriction requirement, applicants have elected claims 1-6 and 11-17 for initial examination. However, it is respectfully submitted that the restriction requirement is improper in view of the fact that no serious burden is presented to the Examiner to consider all of the claims in a single application.

In addition to the above reasons to consider all of the claims in a single application, as set forth in Section 803 of the MPEP, the Examiner must examine an application on the merits if the examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden. Two criteria are identified for proper requirement for restriction:

- 1. The inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and
- 2. There must be a serious burden on the Examiner if the restriction is not required.

Application No: 10/776,525

5

Attorney Docket No. 0505-1271PUS1

Reply dated October 5, 2006

Art Unit: 3616

Reply to Restriction Requirement dated September 8, 2006 Page 3 of 3

Applicants respectfully submit that a serious burden has not been placed on the

Examiner to consider all of the claims in a single application. In order to be responsive to

the Examiner's restriction requirement, claims 1-8 have been initially elected. The Examiner is

respectfully requested to reconsider his restriction requirement and act on all of the claims in

the present application. If the Examiner does persist in his restriction requirement, Applicants

reserve the right to file a divisional application directed to claims 7-10 at a later date if they so

desire.

Favorable action on the present application is earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite

prosecution of this application, he is invited to telephone Carl T. Thomsen (Reg. No. 50,786)

at (703) 208-4030 (Direct Line).

Please charge any fees or credit any overpayment pursuant to 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to

Deposit Account No. 02-2448.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

James M. Slattery

Reg. No. 28,380

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

JMS/CTT/sks (